## For the Northern District of California

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JAMES M. SCHMIDT ET AL.,	No. CV 12-2762 CRB
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	v.	ORDER DISMISSING FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION
14	JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. ET AL.,	SUBJECT WATTER JURISDICTION
15	Defendants.	
16	/	
17		

The Court previously ordered Plaintiffs to show the basis of this Court's subject matter jurisdiction over this lawsuit. See dkt. 28. Plaintiffs have not responded to the Court's order. Plaintiffs' Complaint invoked diversity jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1), which requires complete diversity of the parties, e.g., Carden v. Arkoma Assocs., 494 U.S. 185, 187 (1990), and the complaint alleged no causes of action arising under federal law.

Plaintiffs allege they are California residents, Compl. ¶ 3, and the Deed of Trust attached to their complaint identifies Defendant California Reconveyance Company (CRC) as a California corporation. Compl. at 8. CRC has since represented to the Court that it is indeed a California corporation. See dkt. 32 at 1; dkt. 31 at 1.

Plaintiffs have the burden of establishing complete diversity of citizenship, see Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co., 511 U.S. 375, 377 (1994), and they have not met that

burden. A district court may sua sponte dismiss an action over which it lacks subject matter
jurisdiction. Franklin v. State or Or., State Welfare Div., 662 F.2d 1337, 1342 (9th Cir.
1981). Accordingly, this action is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 28, 2012

CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Judge